

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/791,141	03/02/2004	Kevin I. Bertness	C382.12-0178	3178		
27367 WESTMAN C	7590 03/23/201 'HAMPLIN & KELLY.	EXAM	EXAMINER			
SUITE 1400			PIGGUSH,	PIGGUSH, AARON C		
	AVENUE SOUTH IS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2858			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			03/23/2010	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/791,141	BERTNESS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Aaron Piggush	2858		

	Aaron Piggush	2858				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 08 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed date of the final rejection.	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or within one of the follow	hich places the (3) a Request ving time			
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of thes set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Offic le of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIATED. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	ter form for appeal by materially re-		ne issues for			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4.9-43.45.47-54.56.109 and 111-114. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		•				
See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowari	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:						
	/Edward Tso/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2858				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1 replaces "based upon" with "a function of" and "a function of" with modified based upon". Claim 109 adds "and the starter system test is modified based upon the result of the battery test".

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument provided in the remarks is addressed by the response to arguments on pages 13 and 14 of the previous office action, wherein Gollomp reference is still seen as meeting the current claim language (as noted in the citations provided in the previous office action).